

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/908,941 07/20/2001		07/20/2001	Masaki Hirase	010917	1043	
23850	7590	06/13/2002				
		STERMAN & HA	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
1725 K STRI SUITE 1000		•	KENNEDY, JENNIFER M			
WASHINGT		20006				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2812	1	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner	<i>/</i>		Application N	0.	pplicant(s)					
Examiner M. Lennedy	•		09/908.941	<b>U</b> .	HIRASE ET AL.					
Jennifer M. Kennedy   2812    - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th. cov r sh et with the correspondence address	•	Office Action Summary			Art Unit					
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of term may be available under the production of 37 CPR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 95 KC (b) MONTHS from femal the base of the communication of the production of the communication of the production o	•			nnedy	2812					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  1 HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  1 HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) FROM  1 HE PRIOR OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A STAT		- The MAILING DATE of this communication app			orrespondence ad	dress				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions or time may be available under the provision of 3° CPR 1.35(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX (g) MCMTNS from the above the communication of 3° CPR 1.35(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX (g) MCMTNS from the above to the state that (g) didays, a reply when the statutory minimum of bininy (30) days, a reply when the provision of the pro	Period fo	r Reply								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 March 2002.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4] Claim(s) ½ is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5] Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6] Claim(s) 3-7 is/are rejected.  7] Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the perion of the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period is the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period is the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period is the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period is the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period is the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period is the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period is the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period is the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period by the Office later than three months after the period by the Office later than three months after the period by the Office later than three months after the period by the Office later than three months after the period by the Office later than three months after the period by the Office later than three months after the period by the Office later than three months are period by the Office later than three months are period by the Office later than three months are period by the Office later than three months are period by the Office later than three months are period by the Office later than the period by the Office later than the period by the Office later than the period by the Office later the period by the Office later than	136(a). In no event, holy within the statutory will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s)  is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  10)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  No		Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	March 2002							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims		<u> </u>		n-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 493 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are ejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)	·	,			osecution as to th	ne merits is				
A) □ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachments)  10 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) □ Notice of Transpersons Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quay	le, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 3-7 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-	on of Claims								
5)  Claim(s) is/are rallowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	•			!-  <b>!</b>						
6) Claim(s) 3-7 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  1) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			rawn from cons	ideration.						
7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) □ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		• •		iromont						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			or election requ	irement.						
10)  The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			er.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)⊠.	The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a)	∩ accepted or b	)⊠ objected to by tl	ne Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)[2]	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ appr	oved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examir	ner.				
12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	··									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				•				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  **Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  11 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* :	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  11 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) 🗆 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provision	al application).				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		a)  The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional appli	cation has been re	ceived.					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
	2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)	Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P	o(s) TO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/908,941

Art Unit: 2812

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 3-7 (3-8) in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged. The examiner notes that Claim 8 is also directed to a method of making a semiconductor device. Accordingly, Claim 8 will also be examined in this office action.

#### **Drawings**

Figure 1E should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,303,458).

Zhang et al. discloses the method of making a semiconductor device comprising: forming an element partitioning trench (42) and a mask aligning trench (40) in a semiconductor substrate (10);

Application/Control Number: 09/908,941

Art Unit: 2812

depositing an insulation (40, 50) in the element partitioning trench and the mask aligning trench

applying a protective mask (60) on the insulation deposited in the element partitioning trench

etching the insulating deposited in the mask aligning trench to remove some of the insulation (see Figure 3B and column 4, lines 35-45); and

flattening an upper surface of the semiconductor substrate (see column 4, lines 55-60).

Zhang et al. also discloses the method of forming a coating (30) on the semiconductor substrate, wherein the coating has a pattern of openings corresponding to the element partitioning trench and the mask aligning trench and etching the semiconductor substrate using the coating as a mask to form the element partitioning trench and the mask aligning trench, wherein the insulation depositing step includes depositing the insulation without removing the coating (see column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 20).

Further, Zhang et al. also discloses wherein the semiconductor substrate is a substrate (10), the insulation is formed from oxide (40, 50), and the coating is formed from silicon nitride (30), the method further comprising the step of forming a oxide film (30) on the semiconductor substrate prior to the formation of the element partitioning trench and the mask aligning trench, wherein the coating is formed on the oxide film (see column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/908,941

Art Unit: 2812

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. in view of Schoenfeld (U.S. Patent No. 6,127,245).

Zhang et al. does not teach the method of flattening is performed rotary grinding. Zhang et al. does teach the method of flattening by CMP. Schoenfeld discloses the method of utilizing a rotary grinder in CMP process. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a rotary grinding disc in order to create a uniform flat surface.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. and Schoenfeld (U.S. Patent No. 6,127,245), in further view of Kuroi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,889,335).

Zhang et al. does not expressly disclose the method of forming the substrate of silicon, or the method of forming the insulation of silicon oxide, or the method of forming silicon oxide film on the semiconductor substrate prior to forming the silicon nitride layer coating.

Kuroi et al. discloses the method of utilizing silicon (1) as the substrate material, silicon oxide as the insulation material (2), and silicon oxide (3) as the pad oxide layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form these layers of these materials because they are preferred materials for their respective intended purposes.

Claim 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. in view of Kuroi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,889,335).

Application/Control Number: 09/908,941

Art Unit: 2812

Zhang et al. discloses the method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, comprising;

forming an oxide film (30) on an upper surface of a semiconductor substrate; forming a silicon nitride film (30) on the oxide film; partially removing the silicon nitride film and the oxide film;

forming an element partitioning trench and a mask aligning trench by etching the semiconductor substrate using a residue of the silicon nitride and silicon oxide films as a mask, wherein element partitioning trench and the mask aligning trench have substantially the same depths (see column 4, lines 4-26 and Figures 1A, 1B);

simultaneously depositing a first layer of insulation and a second layer of insulation in the element partitioning trench and in the mask aligning trench, respectively (40, 50);

coating the first insulation with a protective mask (60);

etching the second insulation so that a step is formed between an upper surface the semiconductor substrate and an upper surface of the second insulation (see column 4. lines 45-55); and

removing the protective mask (see column 4, lines 55-60, and Figures 4A, 4B)

Zhang et al. further discloses the method wherein the first insulating and the second insulation are made of the same material (40, 50).

Zhang et al. does not expressly disclose the method of forming the insulation of silicon oxide, or the method of forming silicon oxide film on the semiconductor substrate prior to forming the silicon nitride layer coating.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/908,941

Art Unit: 2812

Kuroi et al. discloses the method of utilizing silicon oxide as the insulation material (2) and silicon oxide (3) as the pad oxide layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form these layers of these materials because they are preferred materials for their respective intended purposes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer M. Kennedy whose telephone number is (703) 308-6171. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

jmk June 11, 2002

John F. Niebling
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800